WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Victorino Morales-Morales	Case Number: <u>11-05934M-001</u>	
and was repl	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 resented by counsel. I conclude by a prepdant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on April 19, 2011. Defendant was present onderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pr	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes		arged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant cor	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade la	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.	
The at the time o	f the hearing in this matter, except as no		
1.	There is a serious risk that the defer	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.		itions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
۷.			
The		ONS REGARDING DETENTION f the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	s facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the	e, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court se Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the se of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEALS	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	S ORDERED that should an appeal of this y of the motion for review/reconsideration	s detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services suf		to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial e the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE: Ap	oril 19, 2011	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge	